PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF MCCALLUM RADEMEYER & FREIMOND THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PO Box 1130, 7 June Avenue Maclyn House, Bordeaux SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION 2125 Randburg SOUTH AFRICA (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 05/01/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below INT1125MAJR International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/ZA2004/000071 25/06/2004 Applicant DETNET SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LIMITED The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filling of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Fluie 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes Where? Directly to the 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the international Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the International application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the international Searching Authority to the International profilminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. in respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filled within 19 months. See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5618 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tet. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Lucia Ertl

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application, it should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for artending the claims before international pollication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the International phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

I

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

ſ

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filled.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The latter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the latter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the latter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended, it must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is now:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim on filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be expisized in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added," or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 48,4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

it must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the latter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended, it must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filled

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Sursau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's cr agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220					
INT1125MAJR	ACTION as well		as, where applicable, Item 5 bolow.					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)					
PCT/ZA2004/000071	25/06/2004		15/07/2003					
Applicant								
DETNET SOUTH AFRICA (PTY)	LIMITED							
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is boing to	n prepared by this International Sear ansmitted to the International Bureau	ching Auth	nority and is transmitted to the applicant					
This international Search Report consists	of a total of she	ets.						
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document di	led in this	report.					
1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the International search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filled, unless otherwise Indicated under this Item.								
The international this Authority (Ru		of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to					
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.					
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).							
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).							
4. With regard to the title.								
X the text is approved as su	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follow	vs:						
5. With regard to the abstract,	5. With regard to the abstract,							
X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.								
			ty as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority.					
6. With regards to the drawings,								
a. the ligure of the drawlings to be published with the abstract is Figure No								
X as suggested by								
I 🚝	is Authority, because the applicant fa							
	s Authority, because this figure bette	r characte	enzes the invention.					
b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.								

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/ZA2004/000071

		<u></u>					
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 F42B3/12							
According to	o Internalional Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classifica-	ation and IPC .					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 F42B F42D							
	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included. In the fields scarched						
Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where pradical search terms used) EPO-Internal							
C. DOCUME	ENTS CONSIDÉRÉD TO BE RELEVANT						
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the role	ovant passagos	Relevant to daim No.				
X	US 4 685 396 A (KING ALAN G ET AL) 11 August 1987 (1987-08-11) column 1, line 50 - column 2, line 12 column 7, lines 51-60						
X	US 4 860 653 A (ABOUAV DAVID M) 29 August 1989 (1989-08-29) column 6, line 65 - column 11, li	1,3					
х	WO 92/08932 A (SCHULTZ RICHARD M) 29 May 1992 (1992-05-29) page 6, line 35 - page 7, line 15	1,3					
А	US 5 460 093 A (ATKESON PETER L 0 24 October 1995 (1995-10-24) column 9, lines 7-20 column 10, lines 23-54	ET AL)	1-3				
Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Patent family members are listed in annex.							
*Special categories of cited documents: Tellar document published after the international filing date.							
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. *E* earlier document but published on or after the international illing date. *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified). *O* document relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken ulone cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents; such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *A* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents; such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *A* document member of the same patent family							
Date of the	o intomational search report						
2	5 November 2004	05/01/2	305				
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 22to HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 851 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Ziegler	, H-J				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/ZA2004/000071

					J04/0000/1
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	•	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
U\$ 4685396	Α	11-08-1987	AT	45036 T	15-08-1989
			AU	568226 B2	17-12-1987
			AU	4653685 A	13-03-1986
			BR	8504243 A	01-07-1986
			CA	1252853 A1	18-04-1989
			DE	3571873 D1	31-08-1989
			ĒΡ	0174115 A2	12-03-1986
			ES	8609694 A1	16-12-1986
			FI	853391 A	05-03-1986
			GB	2164730 A ,B	26-03-1986
			ĪÑ	164805 A1	03-06-1989
			ĴΡ	61127691 A	14-06-1986
			MW	2585 A1	19-06-1987
		•	MX	158954 A	31-03-1989
			NO	853349 A	05-03-1986
			NZ	213140 A	29-02-1988
			PH	22912 A	24-01-1989
			ZA	8506319 A	28-05-1986
			ZM ZM		
			ZM	6085 Al	21-02-1986
				13085 A1	14-10-1987
US 4860653	Α	29-08-1989	AT	73539 T	15-03-1992
			AT	73538 T	15-03-1992
			AU	577706 B2	29-09-1988
			AU	5999786 A	30-01-1987
			WO	8700264 Al	15-01-1987
		•	MO	8700265 A1	15-01-1987
			CA	1272783 A1	14-08-1990
			CA	1299017 C	21-04-1992
		•	EP	0208480 A2	14-01-1987
			ĒΡ	0207749 A2	07-01-1987
			ES	2000183 A6	01-01-1988
			ES	2000184 A6	01-01-1988
		•	FI	870876 A	27-02-1987
			FI	870877 A	27-02-1987
			GB	2178830 A ,B	18-02-1987
			GB	2179123 A ,B	25-02-1987
			JP	63500887 T	31-03-1988
			NO	870831 A	27-04-1987
			NO	870832 A	27-04-1987
			PH	25670 A	04-09-1991
			РH	26351 A	29-04-1992
			US	4869171 A	26-09-1989
			US	5090321 A	25-02-1992
			ZA	8604680 A	25-02-1997

WO 9208932	Α	29-05-1992	AU	9133491 A	11-06-1992
			BR	9106013 A	02-03-1993
			CA	2073695 A1	14-05-1992
			DE	4192810 T	01-04-1993
			SE	9202149 A	14-09-1992
			WO	9208932 A1	29-05-1992
US 5460093	Α	24-10-1995	AU	680291 B2	24-07-1997
			AU	7515994 A	28-02-1995
			CA	2168642 A1	09-02-1995
			UM		
			WO ZA	9504253 A1 9405606 A	09-02-1995 08-05-1995

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 25.06.2004 PCT/ZA2004/000071 15.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F42B3/12 Applicant DETNET SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 65.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer**



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Ziegler, H-J

Telaphone No. +49 89 2399-2894



10/564623

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/ZA2004/000071

IAP201364FCILTO 12 JAN 2006

	Вох	: No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)):			
2.	With	re ess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. ty	pe	of material:			
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
]	in written format			
			in computer readable form			
	¢. tir	me	of filing/furnishing:			
		ב	contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	٥	<u> </u>	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha: coj	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Add	litio	nal comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/ZA2004/000071

	Pov	No. II	Priority					
			······································					
1.	. The following document has not been furnished:							
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	application	n whose p	priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the ea	arlier appl	ication wh	hose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.	☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
4.	Add	itional d	bservations, if nece	ssary:				
				•				
		No. V				13 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ons supporting such statement		
1.	Stat	ement						
	Novelty (N)		l	Yes:	Claims			
				No:	Claims	1-3		
	Inventive step (IS)		Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	1-3		
-	Indi	ıstrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-3		
2.	Cita	tions a	nd explanations	•				

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/ZA2004/000071

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 4 685 396 A (KING ALAN G ET AL) 11 August 1987 (1987-08-11)
D2: US 4 860 653 A (ABOUAV DAVID M) 29 August 1989 (1989-08-29)
D3: WO 92/08932 A (SCHULTZ RICHARD M) 29 May 1992 (1992-05-29)

2 CLAIMS

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a method of controlling operation of a detonator which includes the steps of arming the detonator (col.1, l.54-59) and , if at least one defined signal is not received by the detonator within a predetermined period after arming the detonator, of placing the detonator in a known safe state (col.1, l.67 - col.2, l.12 and col.7, l.55-60).

The continued supply of energy signals in D1 amounts to an arm-hold signal. It is implicit that the timing than is recommenced.

The detonator of D1 comprises an energy storage device (capacitor 25), an energy discharge circuit (dissipator 33), and a control unit (safety circuit, pulse length discriminator 27). The functional features of claim 3 correspond to those of claim 1.

Thus the subject matter of claims 1-3 is not novel over D1.

2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 3 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D2 (col.11, l. 10 onwards) discloses a time based evaluation after an ARM signal, which if not followed by a BOOM signal after 5 seconds set back detonator in

a safe mode. All parts involved in the process (col.9, 1.8 onwards) are included in the detonator.

D3 dioscloses the same features on pagfes 6 I.35 - page 7, I.15.

Hence the subject matter of claims 1 and 3 is not novel over D2 and D3.